

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/675,177	DAUBENSPECK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh B. Duong	2822	

All Participants:

(1) Khanh B. Duong.

(2) William Sabo (Reg. No. 27,465).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2005

Time: 2:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

10 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Sabo agreed and authorized the Examiner's proposed amendments to correct errors in claims 10 and 16 and to change the title to reflect the claimed invention. However, no Examiner's Amendment was made since claim 10 was found to be anticipated by Yeh (U.S. Pat. No. 5,169,802).